



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,260	06/28/2001	Richard James Eickemeyer	ROC 9 2000 0282 US1	5055
7590 04/11/2005			EXAMINER	
Robert R. Williams			LI, AIMEE J	
IBM Corporation	on, Dept. 917			
3605 Highway 52 North			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, MN 55901-7829			2183	

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) **Advisory Action** 09/894.260 EICKEMEYER ET AL. Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Examiner Art Unit Aimee J Li 2183 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔯 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application. applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of

(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling

7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues on pages 16-17 in essence "... Koblenz '829 teaches away from the alleged combination of using a circular six-list for small memory allocation with an out-of-order processor." This has not been found persuasive. The passage relied upon in Koblenz merely describes an embodiment of his invention. There is no explicit indication within Koblenz that out-or-order execution is undesirable and that only in-order execution should be used. The paragraph cited does not explicitly state any where that out-of-order execution is detrimental. Also, Koblenz was not relied upon to teach the out-of-order processing. Lee was used to teach out-of-order processing. Not teaching an element is not the same as teaching away from the element.

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);

(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

appeal; and/or

the non-allowable claim(s).

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

Claim(s) allowed: ____ Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: ___

13. Other:

AMENDMENTS

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050331

EDDIE CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100